

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

VINCENT RYANS
150 North Yewdal Street, 1st Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19139

Plaintiff,

v.

PENN TOWER HOTEL, INC., d/b/a
SHERATON UNIVERSITY HOTEL
3501 Chestnut Street
Philadelphia, PA 19104
and
MJ EMPLOYMENT SERVICES, INC.
3501 Chestnut Street
Philadelphia, PA 19104
and
AUDREY KRIJT
3501 Chestnut Street
Philadelphia, PA 19104

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION

No. _____

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, by and through his undersigned counsel, hereby avers as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This action has been initiated by Vincent Ryans (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff," unless indicated otherwise) against Penn Tower Hotel, Inc., d/b/a Sheraton University Hotel and MJ Employment Services, Inc. (hereinafter referred to collectively as "Defendants" unless indicated otherwise) for violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA" - 42 U.S.C. §§ 12101 *et. seq.*), the Family and Medical Leave Act ("FMLA" - 29 U.S.C. §§ 2601 *et. seq.*), and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act ("PHRA"). As a direct consequence of Defendants' unlawful actions, Plaintiff seeks damages as set forth herein.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over the instant action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343(a)(4) because it arises under the laws of the United States and seeks redress for violations of federal laws. There lies supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state-law claims because they arise out of the same common nucleus of operative facts as Plaintiff's federal claims asserted herein.

3. This Court may properly maintain personal jurisdiction over Defendants because their contacts with this state and this judicial district are sufficient for the exercise of jurisdiction over Defendants to comply with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice, satisfying the standard set forth by the United States Supreme Court in *International Shoe Co. v. Washington*, 326 U.S. 310 (1945) and its progeny.

4. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1) and (b)(2), venue is properly laid in this district because all of the acts and/or omissions giving rise to the claims set forth herein occurred in this judicial district, and Defendants are deemed to reside where they are subject to personal jurisdiction, rendering Defendants resident of the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

PARTIES

5. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.

6. Plaintiff is an adult individual, with an address as set forth in the caption.

7. Defendant Penn Tower Hotel, Inc., d/b/a Sheraton University Hotel (hereinafter "Defendant PTH" if referred to individually) is a Pennsylvania for-profit corporation operating and employing employees at a hotel doing business as Sheraton University Hotel within Philadelphia (at the above-captioned address).

8. Defendant MJ Employment Services, Inc. (hereinafter "Defendant MJ" if referred to individually) is a for-profit corporation operating at the same specified address as Defendant PTH as identified in payroll materials disseminated and submitted to employees of Defendants.

9. Defendant Audrey Krijt was at all times relevant herein Plaintiff's Director of Human Resources responsible for overseeing and decision making with respect to Plaintiff's medical leave, accommodation requests and termination from employment.

10. Plaintiff was an employee of Defendant PTH, as this entity hired him, supervised his terms and conditions of employment, and directly terminated his employment. Plaintiff was also upon information and belief a direct employee of Defendant MJ, as this entity paid Plaintiff, is considered a management company providing management services with overlapping management of Defendant PTH, and oversaw Plaintiff's terms of compensation and benefits from the inception of Plaintiff's employment through his termination from employment.

11. Defendants PTH and MJ are properly considered joint, single and/or integrated employers for the purposes of this action as they shared in management, resources, and oversight over the terms and conditions of Plaintiff's employment. Defendant Krijt is personally liable for terminating Plaintiff because she was a high-level manager with full decision making overseeing Plaintiff's medical leave under the FMLA and subsequent termination for unlawful motives.

12. At all times relevant herein, Defendants acted by and through their agents, servants and employees, each of whom acted at all times relevant herein in the course and scope of their employment with and for Defendants.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

13. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.

14. Plaintiff is a 49-year-old male.

15. Plaintiff was hired by Defendants in or about August of 2011.

16. Plaintiff was hired to work within the position of night auditor, and did so until his termination from Defendants after approximately 2 years of employment).

17. Plaintiff has a long history of medical problems and treatment for his disabilities, which include but are not limited to arthritis and gout (and related complications). Such conditions at times limit Plaintiff's ability to perform manual tasks, walk, and work (among other various life activities). Plaintiff suffers from the aforesaid disabilities currently and has for approximately the last approximate decade.

18. Due to complications with Plaintiff's health, he requested leave from Defendants from in or about March of 2013 through in or about June of 2013 (a period of approximately 12 weeks).

19. Plaintiff was informed his leave March to June 2013 leave was designated FMLA leave by Defendants' management, representations and designations upon which he relied.

20. During the aforesaid timeframe (March 2013 through June 2013), Plaintiff was hospitalized at times, received significant medical treatment, and followed a prescribed medical regimen of rehabilitation (as medically directed).

21. Plaintiff attempted to return to work on or about June 17, 2013, a date in which Plaintiff was requested to return by Defendants (although Plaintiff had requested 1 addition week to be out of work beyond June 17, 2013).

22. In an effort to comply with Defendants' preference that Plaintiff return to work by June 17, 2013, Plaintiff did in fact attempt to work on this date with an extraordinarily

reasonable accommodation request (that he be able to sit down if and as needed on an intermittent basis).

23. Plaintiff provided Defendants' management with a medical note on June 17, 2013 indicating Plaintiff should "be provided a stool, placed near where he works, where he can intermittently sit for relief of pain and edema."

24. Plaintiff was prohibited from working and was specifically told he could not work due to his health problems by Defendants' management upon attempting to return to work from his aforesaid medical leave of absence.

25. Instead, Plaintiff was provided with a letter dated June 18, 2013 terminating his employment by one Audrey Krijt, a Director of Human Resources, which stated *inter alia*: "[t]he position of Guest Service Agent requires that you be able to stand and walk continuously throughout your shift." Such termination was confirmed verbally in a telephone conversation between Defendant Krijt and Plaintiff.

26. Plaintiff could have - without question - performed every essential and non-essential job duty with or without his reasonable accommodation request as aforesaid.

27. Plaintiff was not permitted to remain out of work until June 24, 2013 as he and his physician originally requested (only 1 more week of leave), Plaintiff was not permitted to work with an exceedingly modest accommodation request which in no way would have impeded his ability to perform any part of his job for Defendants, and Plaintiff was terminated despite that his accommodation was only needed for a very limited period of time anyway (without Defendants engaging in any sort interactive process with him).

Count I

Violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA," as amended)
([1] Discrimination; [2] Failure to Accommodate; [3] Retaliation)

28. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.

29. Plaintiff properly exhausted his administrative remedies before proceeding in this Court for violations of the ADA by timely filing a Charge with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") and by filing the instant Complaint within 90 days of receiving a notice of case closure and/or right-to-sue letter.

30. Plaintiff was terminated from Defendant because of: (1) his known health conditions; (2) his perceived health conditions; and/or (3) due to his record of impairment.

31. Defendants also terminated Plaintiff without properly accommodating him by: (a) failing to permit him to take 1 additional week of medical leave; (b) failing to honor Plaintiff's need for intermittent sitting; and (c) by terminating Plaintiff without honoring accommodations or engaging in an interactive process. Plaintiff was directly terminated from Defendant as a result of not being accommodated.

32. Defendant's termination of Plaintiff for seeking and/or requesting accommodations as aforesaid also constitutes unlawful retaliation.

33. These actions as aforesaid constitute unlawful discrimination, retaliation, and failure to accommodate under the ADA, as amended.

Count II

Violations of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act ("PHRA")
([1] Discrimination; [2] Failure to Accommodate; [3] Retaliation)

34. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.

35. Plaintiff dual-filed his EEOC Charge with the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission timely.

36. The PHRA mirrors the ADA in its protections and is analyzed similarly, and Plaintiff therefore reasserts and re-alleges each and every claim as set forth in Count I of this Complaint.

37. Such actions as aforesaid also constitute violations of the PHRA.

Count III
Violations of the Family and Medical Leave Act ("FMLA")
([1] Interference & [2] Retaliation)

38. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.

39. Plaintiff met all threshold requirements of the FMLA, including having worked sufficient hours, having worked for over 1 year, and having worked for an entity with more than 50 employees.

40. Plaintiff took an FMLA qualifying leave through June 17, 2013, and he was specifically advised by Defendants (upon which he relied) that such leave was FMLA qualifying.

41. Plaintiff was able to return to work and attempted to return to work with Defendants (and to perform all duties) but was not reinstated as required by the FMLA.

42. Plaintiff was terminated from Defendants: (a) without required reinstatement as mandated by FMLA regulations; (b) for exercising his FMLA rights; (c) to prevent him from further exercising his FMLA rights; (d) to dissuade Plaintiff and others from using FMLA; and (e) without Defendant abiding by mandatory regulations as to notice, designation, and written expectations being provided.

43. These actions as aforesaid (of terminating Plaintiff) constitute interference and retaliation violations of the FMLA.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Court enter an Order providing that:

A. Defendants are to compensate Plaintiff, reimburse Plaintiff, and make Plaintiff whole for any and all pay and benefits Plaintiff would have received had it not been for Defendants' illegal actions, including but not limited to back pay, front pay, salary, pay increases, bonuses, insurance, benefits, training, promotions, reinstatement, and seniority (and reinstatement if deemed appropriate by the Court).

B. Plaintiff is to be awarded punitive or liquidated damages, as permitted by applicable law, in an amount believed by the Court or trier of fact to be appropriate to punish Defendants for their willful, deliberate, malicious and outrageous conduct and to deter Defendants or other employers from engaging in such misconduct in the future;

C. Plaintiff is to be accorded other equitable and legal relief as the Court deems just, proper, and appropriate (including but not limited to damages for emotional distress / pain and suffering);

D. Plaintiff is to be awarded the costs and expenses of this action and reasonable attorney's fees as provided by applicable federal and state law.

Respectfully submitted,

KARPF, KARP & CERUTTI, P.C.

By: 

Ari R. Karpf, Esq.
3331 Street Road
Two Greenwood Square, Suite 128
Bensalem, PA 19020 / (215) 639-0801

Dated: June 16, 2014

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

VINCENT RYANS

CIVIL ACTION

v.

PENN TOWER HOTEL, INC. d/b/a
SHERATON UNIVERSITY HOTEL, et al.

NO.

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:

- (a) Habeas Corpus -- Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255. ()
- (b) Social Security -- Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. ()
- (c) Arbitration -- Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. ()
- (d) Asbestos -- Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos. ()
- (e) Special Management -- Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.) ()
- (f) Standard Management -- Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks. (X)

<u>6/16/2014</u>	<u>Ari R. Karpf</u>	<u>Plaintiff</u>
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for
<u>(215) 639-0801</u>	<u>(215) 639-4970</u>	<u>akarpf@karpf-law.com</u>
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

Address of Plaintiff: 150 North Yewdal Street, 1st Floor, Philadelphia, PA 19139

Address of Defendant: 3501 Chestnut Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104

Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Defendants place of business

(Use Reverse Side For Additional Space)

Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))

Yes ☐ No ☒

Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?

Yes ☐ No ☒

RELATED CASE, IF ANY:

Case Number: Judge Date Terminated:

Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:

1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?
Yes ☐ No ☐
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?
Yes ☐ No ☐
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?
Yes ☐ No ☐
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual?
Yes ☐ No ☐

CIVIL: (Place ☒ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)

A. Federal Question Cases:

1. ☐ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts
2. ☐ FELA
3. ☐ Jones Act-Personal Injury
4. ☐ Antitrust
5. ☐ Patent
6. ☐ Labor-Management Relations
7. ☒ Civil Rights
8. ☐ Habeas Corpus
9. ☐ Securities Act(s) Cases
10. ☐ Social Security Review Cases
11. ☐ All other Federal Question Cases
(Please specify)

B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:

1. ☐ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. ☐ Airplane Personal Injury
3. ☐ Assault, Defamation
4. ☐ Marine Personal Injury
5. ☐ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. ☐ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. ☐ Products Liability
8. ☐ Products Liability — Asbestos
9. ☐ All other Diversity Cases
(Please specify)

ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION

(Check Appropriate Category)

I, Ari R. Karpf, counsel of record do hereby certify:

- ☐ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;
- ☐ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.

DATE: 6/16/2014

Attorney-at-Law

ARK2484

Attorney I.D.# 91538

NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with P.R.C.P. 38.

I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.

DATE: 6/16/2014

Attorney-at-Law

ARK2484

Attorney I.D.# 91538

JS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS**RYANS, VINCENT**(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Philadelphia

(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, Telephone Number and Email Address)

Karpf, Karpf & Cerutti, P.C., 3331 Street Road,
Two Greenwood Square, Suite 128, Bensalem,
PA 19020, (215) 639-0801, akarpf@karpf-law.com

DEFENDANTS**PENN TOWER HOTEL, INC., d/b/a SHERATON
UNIVERSITY HOTEL, et al.**County of Residence of First Listed Defendant PhiladelphiaNOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE
LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- ☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff ☒ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
- ☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant ☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

- | | PTF | DEF | | PTF | DEF |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Citizen of This State | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | Incorporated or Principal Place of Business in This State | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 |
| Citizen of Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | Incorporated and Principal Place of Business in Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 |
| Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | Foreign Nation | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 |

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance | <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane | <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice | <input type="checkbox"/> 610 Agriculture | <input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 | <input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine | <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability | <input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability | <input type="checkbox"/> 620 Other Food & Drug | <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 | <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Motor Act | <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander | <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability | <input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 | <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights | <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument | <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability | <input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud | <input type="checkbox"/> 630 Liquor Laws | <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent | <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment | <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine | <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending | <input type="checkbox"/> 640 R.R. & Truck | <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark | <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act | <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability | <input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage | <input type="checkbox"/> 650 Airline Regs. | | <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) | <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle | <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability | <input type="checkbox"/> 660 Occupational Safety/Health | | <input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits | <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability | | <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other | | <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits | <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury | | <input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act | | <input type="checkbox"/> 810 Selective Service |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract | | | <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations | | <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability | | | <input type="checkbox"/> 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act | | <input type="checkbox"/> 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise | | | <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act | | <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation | <input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting | <input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence | <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation | | <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure | <input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment | <input type="checkbox"/> 530 General | <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act | | <input type="checkbox"/> 892 Economic Stabilization Act |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment | <input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/Accommodations | <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty | | | <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land | <input type="checkbox"/> 444 Welfare | <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other | | | <input type="checkbox"/> 894 Energy Allocation Act |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment | <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights | | | <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property | <input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other | <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition | | | <input type="checkbox"/> 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights | | | | <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes |

V. ORIGIN

(Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- ☒ 1 Original Proceeding ☐ 2 Removed from State Court ☐ 3 Remanded from Appellate Court ☐ 4 Reinstated or Reopened ☐ 5 Transferred from another district (specify) ☐ 6 Multidistrict Litigation ☐ 7 Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing. (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):

Americans w/Disabilities Act "ADA" 42USC12101; Family & Medical Leave Act "FMLA" 29 USC2601

Brief description of cause:

Violations of the ADA, FMLA and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act "PHRA"

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23

DEMAND \$

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:

JURY DEMAND: ☒ Yes ☐ No**VIII. RELATED CASE(S)**

(See instructions):

JUDGE

DOCKET NUMBER

Explanation:

6/16/2014

DATE

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

Print

Save As...

Export as PDF

Retrieve PDF File

Reset